15

G R S 58]
Previous references

1908
~~1909~~.
(Education)

45A of 1909
File No ~~45~~ 494
Serial Nos ~~1 2~~ 1-2

Later references
Edn 494-08

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 1 & 2

Part B for Jan 4, 1911

SUBJECT

Personal allowance to Mr V Subramanya Iyer, Head Master Tumkur High School

From the 9 4/ of Edn no 1522/1135 4 8 09 Recommends that the personal allowance of Rs 35/- granted to M. V Subramanya Iyer may be continued till his promotion to class C II is sanctioned

2 From Do, no 1899/132 5 17 8 09 Submits for orders Mr V Subramanya Iyer's letter soliciting orders in the matter of his personal allowance

UPB—5 000—22-10 10

GRS 11] 1908 - 09

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Education

NOTES

File No 457-09

FILE HEADING

Personal allowance to Mr V Subramanya Iyer, Head Master, Trivandrum High School

From The Dy Sec to Govt in Improvement

No 1522/113 Dated 4/6/09 Received 6/6/09

Diary No 943 | Enclos | Spare Copies | Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Recommends under the circumstances that the personal allowance of Rs 35 granted to Mr V. Subramanya Iyer, Head Master, Trivandrum High School may be continued, the promotion to class CII (200-15-300) NOTES AND ORDERS Recommended to Govt is sanctioned

Govt order No 494-08 Re promotion to Mr V Subramanya Iyer to class CII has been submitted to the Dewan on

NOTES—First sheet

C I B—30 000—3 4 07

Serial No

31-7-1909 with Diary No. 9317
The question of the personal allowance is also discussed in that file and all the References have been put up therewith

Subd to 2nd cr

cos
10/8/09

Mr Sng
9/4/09

1604

2 I believe the question is before the Council This may be put up with that file

cos
10/8/09

2 From the I GC gram in Regn No 1899-133 & 17 8 1909
Submits for orders ~~his letter~~
Mr V. Subrahmanya Iyer's letter soliciting orders in the matter of his personal allowance
3 In accordance with orders in Edn 7 494 08 a draft order has been put up in that file

directing

G R S 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat

Ed File No 45 of 1909

Serial No

directing Mr Subrahmanya Iyer to refund the excess amount drawn by him from 1st Decbr from the D Gl's present Communication (Sl 2) it would appear that the Head Master had submitted his case to the D Gl in January 1908. (Vide concluding portion of para 1 of the enclosed petition) & that the D Gl was aware of what the Head Master had been doing submitted for orders

H W Kemp
10 8 09

Reference is invited to the note in our file 494 of 08

23/8/09

01E—Contn sheet

GPB—50 000—13 5 08

Edn 45-09-1

Urgent

Ldn 81]

Soc 1

D943

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE

No 1522/113

Bangalore 4th August 1909

From

H J BHABHA Esq M A

Inspector General of Education in Mysore

To

K S. Chandrasekha Iyer Esquire B A B L

Secretary to the Government of H H the Maharaja of Mysore

General and Revenue Departments Bangalore.

SIR

With reference to letter No. 604/93 dated 30th July 1909 of the Comptroller of Mysore, a copy of which is enclosed for your information, requesting me to order the recovery of the personal allowance of Rs. 35 a month drawn by Mr V. Subramanya Iyer Head Master of the Tumkur High School from 1st December 1909, I have the honour to inform you that by the spirt of Article 44 of the Mysore service Regulations the personal allowance of an officer should be reduced by only the amount by which the receipients pay or salary may be increased and should cease altogether as soon as his pay or salary is increased by an amount equal to his personal allowance. If according to Government Order No 9496-7/250-04-12 dated 12th April 190- the personal allowance of Mr. Subramanya Iyer is stopped with effect from 1st December 1909, he will suffer a loss of Rs 25 a month the gain on account of his increment being only Rs. 10 a month.

2. I further submit that Mr. Subramanya Iyer was compelled to insure his life for Rs. 225 which is made up of his pay Rs. 190 and the personal allowance of Rs. 35, and if he should be asked to draw only Rs. 200 as his pay from 1st December 1909 he will be a loser by the extra premium he has been compelled to pay.

(GPH—1500—9-6-09)

3. Under these circumstances I request that Government may be

pleased to permit Mr. Subramanya Iyer to draw Rs. 190 as pay and personal allowance of Rs. 35 until my recommendation to promote him to class C.II with a starting pay of Rs. 225 which was submitted with my letter No. ~~111~~ 11895/771 of 23rd June 1909 is sanctioned with effect from 1st Ddcember 1908.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

H.P.Bhabha

Inspector General.

3

No. 604
93

Office of the Comptroller, Mysore Governme
Dated Bangalore, the 30th July 1909.

From

J.S. Chakravarti Esquire, M.A., F.R.A S. etc.
Comptroller to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore

To H.J Bhabha Esquire M.A.
Inspector General of Education in Mysore,
Bangalore

Sir

I have the honour to invite your attention to Para 2 of G O. No. 9596-7/Edn 250-04-12 dated 12th April 1905 in re the personal allowance of Rs. 35/- per mensem sanctioned to Mr. V Subramanya Iyer, Head Master Government High School Tumkur, in Government Order No. 429-31 dated the 8th July 1902 and to state that it should be continued to him only till he reached Rs. 200/- per mensem in his present class C 111 i e. 1st of December 1908 (Vide Civil lists corrected up to 1st January and April 1909 page 78). Instead of drawing Rs. 200/- only from the said date, i. e. 1st December 1908, Mr. Subramanya Iyer has been drawing Rs. 190 plus 35 i. e. an excess of Rs. 25/- per mensem. I therefore request that you will be so good.as to order the recovery of the personal allowance of Rs 35/- per mensem drawn by Mr. Subramanya Iyer from 1st December 08 from his next salary bills and forward a bill for arrears of increment due duly supported by an increment certificate to this office.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
Sd/ T. Satyaraj Chetty
For Comptroller.

True copy
H.J Bhabha
Inspector General

4

Urgent D/1278

Edn 81]

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE

No 1899 Enclosure / 133 Bangalore 17th August 1909

Ed 458/09
SC(2)

From

H J BHABHA ESQ MA
Inspector General of Education in Mysore

To K S Chandrasekhara Iyer Esq BA BL
Secretary to the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Depts,
Bangalore.

SIR

In continuation of my letter No 1522/113 dated 4th August 1909 recommending that Mr V Subramanya Iyer, Head Master, Government High School, Tumkur, may be permitted to draw his personal allowance of Rs 35 along with his pay of Rs 190 until his promotion to Rs 225 in Class C II is sanctioned by Government, I have the honour to submit for the orders of Government Copy of letter No 69 dated 15th August 1909 received from Mr Subramanya Iyer on

5

on the same subject.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
H J Bhabha
Inspector General

6

Government High School, Turkur

15th August 1909

No 69 of 1909-1910

From

V Subramania Iyer, B A ,

Head Master, Government High School, Turkur

To

H J Dinakara Rao Esquire M A ,

Inspector General of Education in Mysore, Bangalore

Sir

I have the honour to submit that as the Controller in his letter No 603 dated 10th August 1909 regarding his inability to take any other step in the matter of Pay and Personal Allowance without the orders of Government, I have explained to him in detail how my case stood, in my letter No dated 14th August 1909 However, as I cannot urge my claim till some technical points are made clear and as the Controller will not proceed further in the matter without orders from Government, I request that you may be pleased to lay before them, the following facts for their kind consideration

1 The Competency certificate granted to me by the Secretary to Government in their Proceedings No 2850- / Ldn 250-4-2 dated 12th April 1905, a "special" one, I would allow my Allowance to remain as it is by substantive pay rises to Rs 200/-. I should forego the Personal Allowance on the ground that it is my duty to have drawn Rs 200/- eight months ago Now if this case were of the ordinary kind there would have been no agitation on my part to draw the usual increment But it is a special one and involves a peculiar difficulty which required special orders from Government A promotion or an increment of Rs 0 implies in this case a reduction of Rs 35/- The matter had therefore to be proceeded before and as soon as the time for drawing increment came

(Vide my letter No 201 dated 2nd January 1909) And you have been kind enough to place the question before Government with your recommendation for promotion But till Government

Government permits me to draw Rs 200, the power of sanctioning which, lies not ~~with me but with~~ you and the Government, I cannot draw Rs 200 and cannot see my way to forego the allowance

2 It may be asked here why I chose to draw the Personal Allowance rather than to drop it, when I knew that my case was a "special" one and as such it was doubtful whether Government would sanction its continuance All the circumstances of the case, I have to submit, pointed to and even now do point to a probability of the Allowance being continued in some form or other While admitting fully that my allowance should cease the moment I reach Rs 200 I think it is necessary to ascertain what the ceasing or discontinuance means ~~in the~~ in the light of(a) the Civil Service Regulations,(b) the intention of Government as revealed by other rules bearing on the subject, and (c) the Precedents

(a) Art 44 of the above Regulations says that a Personal Allowance should cease as soon as the officer's pay or salary is increased by an amount equal to the Personal Allowance And the substance of it is again given on Page L X,(Index) thus 'Personal Allowances reduced or discontinued on increase of salary or pay' In the case of a Progressive pay the increase takes place grdually and the reduction follows it likewise, as pointed out in the Comptroller's letter And in the case of a fixed pay the increase is given in a lump and the reduction of the Personal Allowance is made likewise Whatever the manner of the reduction and the increase, the principle is the same, that the reduction and the increase should go together

(b). It may be argued that an increase of salary necessitates a reduction of Personal Allowance,(unless otherwise ordered by Government), but a reduction need not necessitate an increase of salary(unless otherwise ordered by Government) Here in the absence of a definite rule the intention of Government in such matters has to be ascertained Now article 24 lays down that

Personal Allowance should be treated as substantive pay for

important purposes And if a Personal Allowance were terminable at any time at the will of Government without a corresponding compensation in the shape of substantive pay, would Government have rejected Personal Allowances to the operations of the rules of Insurance? If Government have had an intention of substituting a Personal Allowance in some shape or other, under one name or another they could not have made a distinction between Allowance and other kinds so far as Life Insurance is concerned Is Insurance optional? If not will the audit officer compel me to pay more than tenth part of my salary if the Personal Allowance is withdrawn?

(c) Should it be as I however we the Government have no power to terminate a personal allowance whenever they choose after once they grant it? I should say that a Personal Allowance is terminable at the will of Government, in the same way as a substantive pay is, i.e. as a punishment or a mark of Government displeasure for misconduct or inefficiency or for withdrawing a Personal Allowance, partially or wholly, at a corresponding increase of salary, there is none for terminating without the withdrawal of substantive pay in the absence of some black mark. On the other hand a Personal Allowance when bestowed as a mark of appreciation of good services in the present case as also in the case of officers in the Military Department

(3) While every year approved service brought in an addition to my salary or at least a guarantee against a reduction of pay and while no one was ever deprived of what was given as a reward for good work, I could not think that I alone and that particularly my last year's work would be rewarded with a reduction of Rs 35 or perhaps even a promotion of Rs 10 so long as the Civil Service Regulations were in my favour Nor did I take any clandestine advantage of the peculiar difficulties of the case I did bring the whole subject to your notice early in January when my increment became due To sum up I believed and I do believe still that in the absence of displeasure on the part of the Inspector General or on the part

9

of Government because of any unsatisfactory work turned out by me, the Personal Allowance cannot cease without a corresponding increase of substantive pay. It is not my desire either to refuse to refund or to shortdraw my pay. But what the Comptroller's letter demands is not either that the salary alone should be reduced or that a refund of the Allowance alone should be made, but that both should be done first my salary is to be reduced to Rs 190 or Rs 200 and next out of this reduced salary is to be recovered Rs 35 every month, which means that I shall be deprived of Rs 70 monthly immediately or Rs 60 a month ultimately for the next eight months. I am therefore awaiting the decision of Government. And if they should think that this double hardship should fall to my lot, I have but to obey their order and I will.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

(Sd) V Subramanya Iyer

Head Master

"True copy"

H J Bhabha

Inspector General

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the

Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

1. (1) Letter No.[illegible] dated 14th June 1909 from the Principal, Central College, Bangalore recommending the promotion of Mr. V T Narayana Iyengar M A, Professor of Mathematics ---- Central College, from class B I to class A II of Educational Officers,

(2). Correspondence ending with letter No 215/16 dated 6th Jul 1909 from the Inspector General of Education regarding the promotion of Mr.C.K.Vijayaraghavachar from class B II to B I

(3). Letter No.11697/773 dated 23rd June 1909 from the Inspector General of Education recommending the promotion of Mr.H R Krishna murti, B.A, Assistant Master Maharaja's College, [illegible], from class C III to class C II and making certain proposals to fill the place of office assistant to the Inspector General of ---- Education

(4) Letter No 11695/771 dated 23rd June 1909 from the Inspector General of Education recommending the promotion of Mr T Subramanya Iyer Head Master, Tumkur High School, from class C III to class C II.

(5). Government Notification No.823-Edn 38-10-1 dated 25th July 1910 posting Mr S Krishnaswami Iyengar M A Assistant master

master, Central College, Bangalore as Assistant to the Inspector General of Education

(6) Correspondence ending with letter No 11039/792 dated 9th May 1910 from the Inspector General of Education recommending that Mr Lakshminarasimha, Vedanta Translator, may be promoted to the grade of 100-10-150 Rupees.

Order to issue No 5724-5 / Edn 15-09-3 Dated Bangalore 5th January 1911

Government are pleased to pass the following orders on the several cases referred to in the papers read above

(i) Mr M T Narayana Ayyangar will be appointed Senior Professor of Mathematics in the Central College, Bangalore and promoted to Educational class A II from the 1st July 1911 A formal notification will issue in June next.

(ii). The case of Mr.C.R Vijayaraghavachar will be considered when final arrangements are made for the Chemistry course under the new curriculum.

(iii) Mr H R Krishna Murti is promoted to class A C II of Educational Officers with effect from the date of this order No separate allowance will be given him for the teaching of mathematics in the B A classes.

(iv) In regard to Mr.V Subramanya Iyer Government do not see their

12

their way to promote him to Class II as recommended by the Inspector General of Technical, but in consideration of this fact and of the specially good work done by this officer, they are pleased to grant him a personal allowance of Rs 30/- per mensem with effect from the date of this order.

(v) In modification of the proposals made by the Inspector General of Education in regard to the case of Mr. S. Srirangaswami Iyengar, U.D.C., Personal Assistant to the Inspector General of Education, Government are pleased to sanction a local allowance of Rs 90/- to him so long as he continues in his present grade so as to bring his total emoluments up to Rs 300/- per mensem (Rs 180/- grade pay + Rs 30/- personal allowance + Rs 90/- local allowance)

(vi) Mr. J. Lakshmiramanna, Kannada translator, will, as recommended by the Inspector General of Education, be placed in a grade Rs 100-10-150.

By Ramachandra

for Officiating Secretary to Government

To

The Inspector General of Education in Mysore
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.

BKH
5